'Of good mochel, and ryght yong therto' Geoffrey Chaucer, The Book of the Duchesse (li.454)

Markey, Tom Journal of Indo - European Studies; Spring 2000; 28, 1/2; ProQuest Research Library pg. 31

# **'Of good mochel, and ryght yong therto'** Geoffrey Chaucer, *The Book of the Duchesse* (li. 454)

Tom Markey Tucson, Arizona

In the course of sifting through evidence for the position of Tocharian with respect to other Indo-European dialects, Hamp (1998:313) casts a jewel of a morpho-syntactic cognate equation upon comparative waters. His equation forms a footnote, without further ado, to a table that displays the incidence (+/- = presence vs. absence) of the dialectal Indo-European case enclitics -bh(i)- / -m(e)- (dative, ablative, instrumental). Either you see the inner beauty of the array immediately, or you don't. His one-liner (verbatim) is:

If fossilized in Arm. merj = Gk.  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \chi \rho t$ , Lat. mox = Ved.  $maks \hat{u}$ : OIr. moch 'early'.

which assumes that the reader can gloss every dialect except Old Irish.

One misses Germanic and Tocharian components in the equation. First, however, some annotation (for those who can gloss Irish, but not the rest).

The equation's semantic common denominator is "approximation", in both time and space: 'soon' (in time) = 'almost, nearly' (in space), and, we may add, 'similarity' in comparison, as 'like, similar' denote approximation (of sameness or difference).

Arm. merj 'near, at' is a metathesis of \*merj (preserved metathesized in the compound merje-nam < \*merji-anam 'I draw nigh') < \*meĝ(h) n > Gk.  $\mu \acute{e} \chi \rho t$  'to a given point, until, even so far as', usually with the genitive, but 'almost, nearly' formulaically with numbers ('nearly thirty years old').  $\mu \acute{e} \chi \rho t$  o $\hat{v}$  + genitive 'till (the time when)' =  $o \acute{v} v \epsilon \kappa \alpha$  + genitive. Homer attests  $\mu \acute{e} \chi \rho t$  but twice (11. 13.143, 24.128) 'even to' and 'until (when) = how long' respectively.

Wulfila translates  $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota$  with *und* 'unto, until, up to' (preposition with the accusative and dative) = OIc. *unz* (= Goth.

Volume 28, Number 1 & 2, Spring/Summer 2000

# Tom Markey

und es) conjunction 'until' = OHG unt-az, MHG unz(e) preposition and conjunction 'until'. OIc. till pess unz ~ par til er 'until (that)' (Goth. und patei) = Modern Icelandic pangað till.

Latin mox 'soon' adv. (< \* moks nom. sg. masc. (like vix?) or \* moksi loc. sg.?) evolved semantically as:

'soon' > 'soon (afterwards)' > 'in the next place' > 'then', also in ranking (similar) values (in time): (Pliny, *Historia Naturalis* 11.41.96) *tenuissimum camelis, mox equis.* '... (first) camels, then horses'.

OIr. moch adj. 'early, soon'; adv. 'early, betimes', glossed by  $m\bar{a}ne$  'in the morning'; cf. mó 'soon', proverb mos- 'id.' < \*moks; mochacht fem. a-stem 'earliness'; comparative mocha (mucha) 'sooner'; also contained in mocheirge 'early rising', continued by Bally Vourney's mochóirí [muxo:r'i:], thereby identifying the provenience of this lexeme in dictionaries of the modern language. Note, too, Middle Welsh moch adv. 'soon, early, quickly' with British h < \*ks.

Vedic *makşú* 'soon' (= Av. *mošu* adv. 'soon, as soon as') occurs only with plurals and is "reinflected": *makşūbhis* (with lengthened final); also a combining form, e.g. *makşūjavas* adj. 'having haste' and an adverb, oxytonic *maksú* 'quickly, soon'.

Vedic makşūbhis (= Av. \*mošubīš inst. pl.) = Kuchean omşap (beside auṣap with u from m) adv. 'more' in comparisons. This is probably a metathesis of \*mošapi < \*mok-su-pi to Av. mošu; that is, locative plural -su + -p(i), formationally comparable to the archaic and dialectal Lithuanian (southwestern speech islands of Zíetala and Lazunai) adessive plural forged from the locative plural + -pi; see Senn (1966:94). The Kuchean comitative -mpa is a composite of locative -m + -pa. The fundamental sense of the rebuilt mošu would have been analogous to that of Engl. "sooner (A than B)". Metathesis aligned \*mošapi with Kuchean spatial adverbs: oms(a)mem (aus(a)mem) 'from above', with ablative -mem.

Here we recall Kuchean omp (om(p) te, omtem) 'there (about)', such that:

Toch. B  $omp = A \ \bar{a}mpi$  'both' =  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi i$  = Lat. ambi- = Latv. abi = Gaul. Ambi- : OHG umbi, Skt.  $ubh\dot{a}u < *abh\dot{a}u$ 

and Mycenaean instrumental plural -pi = Homer's  $-\phi t(v)$ , instrumental - locative - ablatival genitive, both singular and

The Journal of Indo-European Studies

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

plural.

Congruent with areal tendencies, Tocharian employed analytic, rather than synthetic, comparative formations. It lacks IE \*-y<sup>r</sup>/os- and/or \*-tero- comparatives. As an instance of areal diffusion of analytic comparative operators, note that all Uto-Aztecan languages use Spanish más 'more', which totally eliminated presumably synthetic native strategies, no longer even reconstructible.

In addition to *omsap* 'more', Tocharian employed deverbative degree adverbs with the sense 'exceedingly' derived from IE \**e-leu(-dh)-* and \* $\hat{g}he(n)d$ -: Kuchean *olyapo* (A *lyatār*) to *lut* and Kuchean *satkai* to *kät-k-* respectively; see Krause-Thomas (1960:171) for the unetymologized data. The compared form was in the ablative (*ablativus comparationis*): Kuchean *tumem omsap* (*olyapo*) 'more than that'. For 'soon, early', Sogdian, the region's quondam lingua franca, has  $\beta r' k$  ( $\beta r'k$ ) = Av. *fraka-* (cf. Skt. *prātár* 'early, morning',  $\pi \rho \dot{\phi}$ ) = Yaghnobi  $\hat{f} r \bar{a}k$  'morning' > Toch. A *tāpärk* 'now' with prefixed definite *tā-*. Cf. Toch. A *opärkā* 'at morn' with perlative *-ā* in temporals. Toch. B *naus* / A *neş* 'earlier' to *no* / *nu* 'now' respectively are comparable to Sogdian *nwr*, Av. *nu* 'id.'.

Here, too, belong Hitt. *mekki*-'much', common and neuter, and adv. *mekki* 'very', presumably locative singular.

The  $*me\hat{g}(h)ri$  that underlies the Armenian and Greek forms is the result of a shift of *u*-stem to  $r\delta$ -stem:  $(-(e)\hat{u} > -(e/o)r\delta$ , e.g. Homer's  $\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\nu\zeta$  (4x) > post-Homeric  $\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\delta\zeta$ ), a process that is complete in Tocharian: Kuchean *tapre* 'high, top' = Lith. *dubùs* 'sunken, concave, deep', so Hamp (1998:321) and Krause-Thomas (1960:52, 67, 68) before him. This points to  $*me\hat{g}(h)u$ - as a founding form, the nom.-acc. sg. neuter of which  $(*me\hat{g}(h)u)$ , used adverbially, yields Gmc. \*meku (like adv. *felu* 'much'), the source of OIc. *mjök* (> *mjög* ca. 1250); just so \*felu > OIc. fjöl-, an intensive prefix communicating 'much, manifold'; *pace* De Vries (1962: s.v. *mjök*).

We may now delete the conventional wisdom of our handbooks that \*meku was formed to \*felu, a tidbit of oversight that apparently stems from Schulze (1908 = 1966:75, fn. 4).

In addition to meaning 'much, greatly' with verbs and 'very' with adjectives and adverbs, OIc. *mjök* also means 'nearly, almost', semantics that are continued yet today: OIc. *hann var dauðr mjök af kulda* 'he was nearly dead from ("at") the cold', with perfect sociative / locative semantics (*af*). Note the

Volume 28, Number 1 🗳 2, Spring/Summer 2000

Modern Icelandic formula mjög samtímis 'almost simultaneously', with a fossilized genitive adverb, the same syntax as required by  $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho t$ . Faroese lacks a corresponding form.

OIc.  $mjök \equiv ME$  muche (miche) 'much' < OE \* muci/\*muca, a locative in the protolanguage, and Chaucer's mochel (vs. mechel, muchel, michel, mekel, etc.) =  $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o$ .

Given IE -*m*-/-*bh*- alternation and (residual) 'nearly, almost' semantics, OIc. *mjök* finds a morpho-syntactic counterpart in Germ. *beinah(e)* 'nearly, almost' (MHG  $b\hat{n} n\hat{a}$ ).

Continuing to sift the evidence, Hamp (1998:325) sketches the development of Phrygian zeta. He cites Hesychius' lemma  $M\alpha\zeta \varepsilon \upsilon \zeta$ , glossed as an epithet of Zeus among the Phrygians, which he says, surely correctly, points to \*mag-i- as in Lat. magnus, mag-is, maximus, Osc. mais, maimas, Middle Irish  $m\bar{a}l =$ Gaulish maglo-s, and Toch. B māka / A māk 'much, great (in number)', to which we add Av. *maz*- and Sogdian  $mz'y\gamma(k)$  'big' < \* mazexak; pace Diakonoff and Neroznak (1985:122), uncited by Hamp, with their  $M\alpha\zeta\varepsilon\upsilon\varsigma$  as 'the one who kneads (bread)', or Zeus as the Pillsbury Doughboy. Also with a vocalism in this lexeme is OE (ge) mæc, one meaning of which was 'similar' (glossed similis); that is, 'almost, nearly' (in comparisons). It is also negated (ungemæc) 'dissimilar'. These forms were homonymous with (ge) mæc 'fitting, suitable', cf. Modern Dutch gemak 'ease, comfort'. Residual semantics of approximation for 'much' are captured by formulaic *much like*, a bridge between \* meku and (ge) mæc, which is no longer with us.

As a member of an ancient North European speech community, Germanic claims a slot in the Hampian equation.

# REFERENCES

#### De Vries, Jan

1962 *Altnordisches etymologisches Wörterbuch.* Leiden: E. J. Brill. 2nd ed. rev.

Diakonoff, I. M. and V. P. Nerozaak

1985 Phrygian. Delmar, NY: Caravan Books.

### Hamp, Eric P.

1998 Whose were the Tocharians? Linguistic Subgrouping and Diagnostic Idiosyncrasy. In: The Bronze Age and Early Iron Ages Peoples of Central Asia. Vol. 1: Archaeology, Migration and Nomadism, Linguistics. (Journal of Indo-European Studies Monograph 26.1.), ed. Victor H. Mair. Pp. 307-346. Washington, D.C.: The Institute

The Journal of Indo-European Studies

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

for the Study of Man, in collaboration with The University of Pennsylvania Museum Publications.

### Krause, Wolfgang and Werner Thomas

1960 *Tocharisches Elementarbuch. Band I: Grammatik.* Heidelberg: Carl Winter Universitätsverlag.

#### Schulze, Wilhelm

1996 Kleine Schriften. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. 2nd rev. ed.

### Senn, Alfred

1966 *Handbuch der litauischen Sprache.* Band I: Grammatik. Heidelberg: Carl Winter Universitätsverlag.